Case 3:12-cv-01323-AJB-NLS Document 20 Filed 05/20/13 PageID.1006 Page 1 of 2

The duties of the district court in connection with a magistrate judge's R&R are set 1 forth in Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where the parties object to a R&R, "[a] judge of the [district] court shall make a de novo 3 determination of those portions of the [R&R] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50, 106 S. Ct. 466, 88 L. Ed. 2d 435 (1985). When no objections are filed, the district court need not review the R&R de novo. Wang v. Masaitis, 416 F.3d 992, 1000 n.13 (9th Cir. 2005); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121–22 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). A district court may nevertheless "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Wilkins v. Ramirez, 455 F. Supp. 2d 1080, 10 1088 (S.D. Cal. 2006); Or. Natural Desert Ass'n v. Rasmussen, 451 F. Supp. 2d 1202, 11 12 1205 (D. Or. 2006). 13 Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the R&R in its entirety. (Doc. No. 19.) For the reasons stated in the R&R, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, (Doc. No. 16), DENIES Defendant's 15 cross-motion for summary judgment, (Doc. No. 18), and REMANDS the case to the

Social Security Administration for proceedings consistent with the R&R. Upon remand,

the Clerk of Court will close the district court case file.

1920

17

IT IS SO ORDERED.

21

DATED: May 20, 2013

23

24

22

Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia U.S. District Judge

25

26

27

28